

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/087,256                                 | 03/01/2002      | Kishan Khemani       | 11527.354               | 4244            |
| 22913                                      | 7590 05/24/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & |                 |                      | WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA  |                 |
| SEELEY)<br>60 EAST SO                      | UTH TEMPLE      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  | E GATE TOWER    |                      | 1711                    |                 |
| SALT LAKE                                  | CITY, UT 84111  |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004 | 1               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   | 11.17.1     |
|--|---|---|-------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | NY          |
| •  | 10/087,256  | KHEMANI, KISHAN   | V           |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  | <del></del> |
|  | Ana L. Woodward   | 1711  |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  |             |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror<br>cause the application to become ABANDON<br>date of this communication, even if timely file | imely filed<br>nys will be considered timely.<br>n the mailing date of this communicatio<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | n.          |
| Status 2 /   | 101/02  |   |             |
| Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  | /   |   |             |
|  |   |   |             |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | •   |   | S           |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.  |             |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |             |
| 4) Claim(s) 125 is/are pending in the application  | n.  |   |             |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  |   |   |             |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |             |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |             |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 22 are subject to restriction and/or   |   |   |             |
| 8) 🕅 Claim(s) 📆 are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |   |             |
| Application Papers   |   |   |             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | r.  |   |             |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  |   | Examiner.   |             |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o  |   |   |             |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | on is required if the drawing(s) is ol  | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(   | d).         |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | e Action or form PTO-152.   |             |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | a)-(d) or (f).  |             |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   | , , , , , ,   |             |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>   | have been received.   |   |             |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>   | have been received in Applicat  | tion No   |             |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>  | ity documents have been receiv  | ed in this National Stage   |             |
| application from the International Bureau  | , , , ,   |   |             |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | of the certified copies not receiv  | ed.   |             |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |             |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  | y (PTO-413)   |             |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail D  | oate  |             |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |             |
|  | -   |   |             |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-3, 7-12, and 14-25, drawn to a two-component composition, classified in class 525, subclass various.
  - II. Claims 4-6 and 23-25, drawn to a three-component composition, classified in class 525, subclass various.
  - III. Claim 13, drawn to a three-component composition, classified in class 525, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I with each of II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding composition in and of itself without the presence of additional materials, which would react in-situ to produce a mutually exclusive final product, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Art Unit: 1711

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Claims 1-25 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 4. the various materials embraced by the soft and stiff biodegradable polymers and the nonbiodegradable polymer of group III. The election of an ultimate species for each component of the elected group is requested. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2179197 (tolla free).

Ana L. Woodward

Examiner Art Unit 1711

AW